

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

TAMMY J. KITZMILLER; BRYAN REHM,  
CHRISTY REHM; DEBORAH F.  
FENIMORE; JOEL A. LIEB;  
STEVEN STOUGH; BETH A EVELAND;  
CYNTHIA SNEATH; JULIE SMITH;  
ARALENE D. CALLAHAN ("BARRIE");  
FREDERICK B. CALLAHAN,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DOVER AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT;  
DOVER AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF  
DIRECTORS,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 4:04-CV-2688


**PLAINTIFFS' DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY WITH  
DEFENDANTS' COUNTER-DESIGNATIONS AND  
PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTIONS TO COUNTER-DESIGNATIONS**

Attached as Exhibits A – S are charts indicating Plaintiffs' deposition designations and Defendants' counter-designations. Attached as Exhibit T is a list of Plaintiffs' exhibits to be admitted, with the exhibits referenced in the designations and counter-designations listed in blue. Also attached are Plaintiffs' Deposition Designation Binders Volumes 1 and 2, which contain the deposition transcripts with Plaintiffs' designations and Defendants' counter-designations highlighted (yellow for Plaintiffs' designations and pink for Defendants' counter-designations).

The charts indicate the counter-designations as to which Plaintiffs object on the grounds that they are improper counter-designations under Rule 32 of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure. Specifically, Rule 32(a)(4) provides that: "If only part of a deposition is offered in evidence by a party, an adverse party may require the offeror to introduce any other part which ought in fairness to be considered with the part introduced, and any party may introduce any other parts." *See also Magual v. Prudential Lines, Inc.*, 53 F.R.D. 301, 303 (E.D. Pa. 1971) (Rule 32(a)(4) "is clearly designed to allow explanatory material into the record"); and 8A Charles Alan Wright & Arthur R. Miller, *Federal Practice and Procedure* § 2148 ("the opposing party is entitled under the rule to have the context of any statement, or any qualifications made as part of the deponent's testimony also put into evidence"). Plaintiffs object to Defendants' attempt to counter-designate testimony that does not explain or provide context to Plaintiffs' designations.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Eric Rothschild (Pa. ID # 71746)  
Stephen G. Harvey (Pa. ID # 58233)  
Alfred Wilcox, Esquire (Pa. ID # 12661)  
Christopher J. Lowe (Pa. ID # 90190)  
PEPPER HAMILTON LLP  
3000 Two Logan Square  
18<sup>th</sup> & Arch Streets  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
(215) 981-4000  
(215) 981-4750 (fax)  
rothschilde@pepperlaw.com

Thomas B. Schmidt, III (Pa. ID # 19196)  
PEPPER HAMILTON LLP  
200 One Keystone Plaza  
North Front and Market Streets  
P.O. Box 1181  
Harrisburg, PA 17108  
(717) 255-1155  
(717) 238-0575 (fax)  
schmidtt@pepperlaw.com

Witold J. Walczak (Pa. ID # 62976)  
ACLU of Pennsylvania  
313 Atwood Street  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213  
(412) 681-7864  
(412) 681-8707 (fax)  
vwalczak@aclupgh.org

Paula K. Knudsen (Pa. ID # 87607)  
ACLU of Pennsylvania  
105 N. Front St., Suite 225  
Harrisburg, PA 17101  
(717) 236-6827  
(717) 236-6895 (fax)  
pknudsen@aclupa.org

Ayesha Khan  
Richard B. Katskee  
Alex J. Luchenitser  
Americans United for Separation of Church and  
State  
518 C St., NE  
Washington, DC 20002  
(202) 466-3234

Attorneys for plaintiffs:  
TAMMY KITZMILLER; BRYAN AND  
CHRISTY REHM; DEBORAH FENIMORE AND  
JOEL LIEB; STEVEN STOUGH; BETH  
EVELAND; CYNTHIA SNEATH; JULIE SMITH,  
AND ARALENE ("BARRIE") D. AND  
FREDERICK B. CALLAHAN

Dated: November 21, 2005